Document 13 Filed 02/12/25 Page 1 of 1 Case 4:25-cr-00025-YGR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

| NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
|--|--|
| OAKLAND DI | VISION |
| United States of America,) | Case No. 25-CA-0025 VGR 2 |
| Plaintiff, v. Dondre Gray Defendant(s). | STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| Donder (and) | |
| For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on | |
| Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i). | kely to result in a miscarriage of justice. |
| defendants, the nature of the prosec | to [check applicable reasons] the number of cution, or the existence of novel questions of fact lequate preparation for pretrial proceedings of the trial this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3167(m; 7)(B)(ii). |
| Failure to grant a continuance would deny taking into account the exercise of due dil | the defendant reasonable time to obtain countries igence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| | asonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| | asonably deny the defendant the reasonable time into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| disposition of criminal cases, the court set paragraph and — based on the parties' should be time limits for a preliminary hearing upon the court set. | sing into account the public interest in the prompt is the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first owing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ander Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for adictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the rim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| DATED: 2/12/25 | |
| | DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge |
| STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant | Assistant United States Attorney |